

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF	COM	MERC
United States Patent and Trademark	Office\	
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENT	'S	/
P.O. Box 1450	١,	

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,746		06/24/2003	Brian G. McGee	02-580		
719	7590	06/30/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CATERPILLAR INC.		•	SOLIS, F	RICK R		
100 N.E. ADAMS STREET PATENT DEPT.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PEORIA, IL 616296490			3747			

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			-MA
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$V \cup \mathcal{O}$
	10/602,746	MCGEE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Erick R Solis	3747	lalua o o
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	iaress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 June 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	⊠ accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/24/2003. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/602,746

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. Applicant is advised that should claim 2 be found allowable, claim 7 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5,8,10,13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yang (US Patent 6390054). This reference teaches transitioning between two types of engine fuel injection modes. During transitioning some of the cylinders operate in a first mode while others are switched to the second mode. Eventually, all the cylinders are switched to the second mode. See col. 4, lines 35+ and also col. 6, lines 6-17.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,746

Art Unit: 3747

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 6,9,11,12 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang. Yang applies as above, but does not teach applying the technique of transitioning between different injection modes wherein one of the two combustion modes includes two injections (shots) per combustion cycle, nor use is a rotary type engine. As is known by applicant (see backround discussion in applicant's specification) the use of multiple injections per engine cycle is well known. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified a known multiple injection per cycle engine to have transitioned to single injection mode by using the

Application/Control Number: 10/602,746

Art Unit: 3747

technique taught by Yang wherein the cylinders or cylinder groups were progressively switched from one mode to the other, because this would have reduce torque shocks. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to apply the technique to a known rotary engine for the same reasons of minimizing torque shocks when transitioning between injection modes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erick R. Solis whose telephone number is (703) 308-2651. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Erick R. Solis Primary Examiner Art Unit 3747

En R. Soli

ers June 28, 2004